UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/542,027 | 01/17/2006 | Michael Freimuth | 32860-00905/US | 8483 |
| 30596 7590 06/12/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O.BOX 8910 | , | DUVERNE, JEAN F | | |
| RESTON, VA 20195 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2839 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|
| | 10/542,027 | FREIMUTH ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jean F. Duverne | 2839 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut-Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A | s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) | awn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate |

Application/Control Number: 10/542,027 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12-20, 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggert et al (US patent 5,629,831).

In regard to claims 1, 6, 14, 22-25, Eggert's device a modular device with a module (2) discloses a housing (see figs. 1-2) at least one module location at 15; at least one connection module arrangeable at the module location including a connection device; a contact means or connection lines at 19, 11, 12, 14 connection module the contact means with guiding features for contacting with the opposing contact means at 25 or 15; and an insulating means arranged at least of an end and longitudinal side at 30 on at least one the contact means and the opposing means covering the contact means on at least one end of the longitudinal side at 30. The term "for" with accompanied term as also recited in the claim is a functional limitation and has not been given any patentable weight because it's narrative in form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means' for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph, and must supported by recitation in claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. In re Fuller, 1929 C. D. 172; 388 O. G. 279.

However. Eggert's device fails to explicitly disclose the arrangement of the contact. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the time the invention was made to arrange the contacts in certain fashion, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the time the invention was made to arrange the made to arrange the contacts in certain fashion in order to meet the system specification and requirement.

In regard to claim 2, 15, 26, Eggert's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the insulating housing base at 3 with the insulating bracket.

In regard to claims 3, 5, 12-13, Eggert's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the insulating housing base at 3 integrated means as a plug in the housing.

In regard to claims 4, 16-19, Eggert's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the integrated means as being parallel and perpendicular to the longitudinal side (see figs. 1-2).

In regard to claims 7, 20 Eggert's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the retaining means (see figs. 1-2).

.In regard to claims 8 and 10, Eggert's device discloses the aforementioned limitations including the alignment or coding means (see figs. 1-2).

Art Unit: 2839

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment on 04/23/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims do not define structural structure features that distinguish over prior art: For example, Eggert's device a modular device discloses a housing (see figs. 1-2) at least one module location at 15; at least one connection module arrangeable at the module location including a connection device; a contact means per connection module the contact means for contacting with the opposing contact means and an insulating means arranged at least of an end and longitudinal side on at least one the contact means and the opposing means covering the contact means on at least one end of the longitudinal side (see the above rejection). The term "for" with accompanied terms as stated above is narrative in form and does add any patentability the claims limitations. The arrangement of the module or contacts is considered as an obvious variation (see the above rejection).

.Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean F. Duverne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-7:30, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,027 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFD

/Jean Frantz Duverne/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2839

06/08/2008